



EAST AREA COMMITTEE



TRANSPORT CORRIDOR FUNDING AGENDA

To: City Councillors: Blencowe (Chair), Owers (Vice-Chair), Benstead, Brown, Hart, Herbert, Johnson, Marchant-Daisley, Moghadas, Pogonowski, Saunders and Smart

County Councillors: Bourke, Harrison, Sadiq and Sedgwick-Jell

Dispatched: Wednesday, 29 August 2012

Date: Thursday, 6 September 2012

Time: 7.00 pm

Venue: Meeting Room - Cherry Trees Day Centre

Contact: James Goddard **Direct Dial:** 01223 457015

**6 EAST AND SOUTH TRANSPORT CORRIDOR AREA
TRANSPORT PLANS** Capital Programme Manager (*Pages 1
- 4*)

The East Area Committee agenda is usually in the following order:

- Open Forum for public contributions
- Delegated decisions and issues that are of public concern, including further public contributions
- Planning Applications

This means that planning items will not normally be considered until at least 8.30pm
- see also estimated times on the agenda.

Meeting Information

Open Forum

Members of the public are invited to ask any question, or make a statement on any matter related to their local area covered by the City Council Wards for this Area Committee. The Forum will last up to 30 minutes, but may be extended at the Chair's discretion. The Chair may also time limit speakers to ensure as many are accommodated as practicable.

Public Speaking on Planning Items

Area Committees consider planning applications and related matters. On very occasions some meetings may have parts, which will be closed to the public, but the reasons for excluding the press and public will be given.

Members of the public who want to speak about an application on the agenda for this meeting may do so, if they have submitted a written representation within the consultation period relating to the application and notified the Committee Manager that they wish to speak by **12.00 noon on the working day before** the meeting.

Public speakers will not be allowed to circulate any additional written information to their speaking notes or any other drawings or other visual material in support of their case that has not been verified by officers and that is not already on public file.

For further information on speaking at committee please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or

democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Further information is also available online at

<http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/public/docs/Having%20your%20say%20at%20meetings.pdf>

The Chair will adopt the principles of the public speaking scheme regarding planning applications for general planning items and planning enforcement items.

Cambridge City Council would value your assistance in improving the public speaking process of committee meetings. If you have any feedback please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Representations on Planning Applications

Public representations on a planning application should be made in writing (by e-mail or letter, in both cases stating your full postal address), within the deadline set for comments on that application. You are therefore strongly urged to submit your representations within this deadline.

Submission of late information after the officer's report has been published is to be avoided. A written representation submitted to the Environment Department by a member of the public after publication of the officer's report will only be considered if it is from someone who has already made written representations in time for inclusion within the officer's report.

Any public representation received by the Department after 12 noon two working days before the relevant Committee meeting (e.g. by 12.00 noon on Monday before a Wednesday meeting; by 12.00 noon on Tuesday before a Thursday meeting) will not be considered.

The same deadline will also apply to the receipt by the Department of additional information submitted by an applicant or an agent in connection with the relevant item on the Committee agenda (including letters, e-mails, reports, drawings and all other visual material), unless specifically requested by planning officers to help decision-making.

**Filming,
recording and
photography**

The Council is committed to being open and transparent in the way it conducts its decision-making. Recording is permitted at council meetings, which are open to the public. The Council understands that some members of the public attending its meetings may not wish to be recorded. The Chair of the meeting will facilitate by ensuring that any such request not to be recorded is respected by those doing the recording.

Full details of the City Council's protocol on audio/visual recording and photography at meetings can be accessed via:

www.cambridge.gov.uk/democracy/ecSDDisplay.aspx?NAME=SD1057&ID=1057&RPID=33371389&sch=doc&cat=13203&path=13020%2c13203

Fire Alarm

In the event of the fire alarm sounding please follow the instructions of Cambridge City Council staff.

**Facilities for
disabled people**

Level access is available at all Area Committee Venues.

A loop system is available on request.

Meeting papers are available in large print and other formats on request prior to the meeting.

For further assistance please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

**Queries on
reports**

If you have a question or query regarding a committee report please contact the officer listed at the end of relevant report or Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

**General
Information**

Information regarding committees, councilors and the democratic process is available at www.cambridge.gov.uk/democracy.

This page is intentionally left blank

Eastern Corridor Area Transport Plan Funding and Project Proposals

Note to Members of East Area Committee – 6th September 2012

From Dearbhla Lawson, Head of Transport and Infrastructure (Policy and Funding), Cambridgeshire County Council

1. Background

- 1.1 S106 contributions for transport, received from developers in Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire, are largely collected through the Corridor Area Transport Plan (CATP) process. Contributions are collected from a number of developments, pooled and then spent on a range of schemes that are included in the plans themselves.
- 1.2 The purpose of this paper is to update members, following the paper presented at the December 2011 Committee meeting, on the process for allocating s106 funding and review the project suggestions made by members that have the potential to be supported by Eastern Corridor Area Transport Plan (ECATP) funding, as well as SCATP funding where the committee area extends into the Southern Corridor. The principal factor for fit with the CATP is to mitigate the effect of additional transport related movements from new development, with the link to the plan and area covered attached. This report will be updated on a bi-annual basis.

<http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/transport/strategies/currenttransportplans/atp.htm>
- 1.3 As in the previous note on context, it is important to note that the process has been developed by Officers from Cambridge City, South Cambridgeshire and County Councils.
- 1.4 It is important to work with local communities in developing a rolling programme of projects funded from s106 allocations. This process commences with East Area Committee Member project proposals, provided after the initial note to Members outlining the requirements that projects need to meet in order to comply with the conditions of the ECATP, or SCATP as referred to in paragraph 1.2, which are then assessed against the Project Selection Criteria, approved at County Cabinet on 10th July 2012 for allocating contributions to future transport schemes. The Project Selection Criteria approach incorporates the Transport Project Assessment and Prioritisation Form to provide scores from the assessment and the estimated cost resulting in a value for money score.
- 1.5 Results of the project proposal scores are reviewed by East Area Committee Members to agree allocations of s106 funding to a rolling programme of projects that form the recommendations to be put to

County Council Cabinet for approval. It is important to note that approval by Cabinet is to only allocate the s106 funding to schemes. The approved allocations of funding will enable the proposals to come forward for detailed work as part of the Council's Capital Programme. As these schemes are developed, detailed consultation will be undertaken.

2. Assessed Member Project Proposals by Value for Money Score

Refreshing all cycle path and cycle lane markings, especially around the Perne Road/Cherry Hinton Road roundabout (Coleridge)

- 2.1 The proposal is to refresh the cycle lane markings and re-align the kerb at the pinch point on Cherry Hinton Road eastbound at the roundabout exit. All works are within the highway at an estimated cost of £5,000. Value for Money score: **12**.

Access link from the CB1 development to the Leisure Park, so that both sites could access the Leisure Park multi storey car park (Coleridge and Trumpington)

- 2.2 A pedestrian bridge over the rail line between the 2 sites would provide a direct link to the Leisure Park facilities and multi-storey car park at a cost of £3 million. The link would significantly improve access between the station and leisure area and reduce walking time leading to a likely increase of use of both facilities. However, planning permission and the agreement of Network Rail would be required. Value for Money score: **6.4**.

Perne Road/ Cherry Hinton Road roundabout improvements to address traffic flow and safety issues (Coleridge)

- 2.3 Safety and congestion issues relating to pedestrian crossing movements and cyclists on Cherry Hinton Road in the vicinity of Perne Road/Mowbray Road and Wulfstan Way. The roundabout and Cherry Hinton Road between Wulfstan Way and Walpole Road are accident cluster sites. The roundabout could be replaced by signals at a cost of £750,000. Improvements to the crossing and Wulfstan Way are limited by the highway space available and additional land may be required. An improvement scheme at this junction would cost in the region of £100,000. Value for Money score: **6.33**.

Madingley Road Cycleway Phase 2 (Castle and Newnham) - (raised at East Area Committee)

- 2.4 Complete the construction of the off road shared use cycleway on the north side of Madingley Road from Queen's Road to the Park and Ride site. The work is within the highway and will improve access to the Cambridge North-West site at an estimated cost of £300,000. Value for Money score: **6.25**.

Contraflow Cycling Signage following audit to identify need (All Wards)

- 2.5 Improve the safety of existing contraflow cycle lanes by improved signage and markings, and review additional sites for contraflow arrangements at an estimated cost of £50,000. Value for Money score: **5.5**.

Tenison Road traffic calming scheme (Petersfield)

- 2.6 Traffic calming measures in Tenison Road and the area to include Devonshire Road, St Barnabas Road and other minor link roads between Mill Road and Station Road to mitigate against the impact of changed traffic movements relating to the CB1 development. S106 funding for approximately half the scheme cost of £500,000 is available as a specific contribution. Value for Money score: **5.4**.

Removal of unnecessary street signage (All Wards)

- 2.7 Removal of unnecessary signs to reduce clutter, improve the visual environment and ensure all statutory restrictions and controls are enforceable at a cost of £50,000. Value for Money score: **4**.

Park and ride facility for Cherry Hinton (Cherry Hinton and Fulbourn)

- 2.8 A Park and Ride facility in the Cherry Hinton Road/Fulbourn Road area could remove traffic from this radial route into the City. A feasibility study would cost £25,000 for a 500 space Park and Ride Site costing in the region of £5 million. Value for Money score: **4**.

Improve safety at Stanley Road junction with Newmarket Road (Abbey)

- 2.9 The proposal to improve safety by restaging the signal sequence, splitting conflicting approaches, improving marking and signing and removing some parking on Stanley Road at an estimated cost of £23,000. There have been 5 slight accidents in the last 5 years with none since 2009. The scheme would improve the safety of the junction, although congestion would increase at the junction. Value for Money score: **Negative**.

Citywide 20 mph/coherent speed limit strategy (All Wards)

- 2.10 This is a Citywide strategy which would need a bid for all areas. The City Council have approved funding to implement a citywide limit. Value for Money score: **Not Determined** at this stage due to lack of cost estimate.

3. Next Steps in the Approval/Implementation Process

- 3.1 When County Council Cabinet are asked to approve the recommendations, next report planned for November 2012, the views expressed by East Area Committee Members will be included as this is key input into the decision to make these local transport improvements.

- 3.2 Following approval by Cabinet to allocate s106 funding to any scheme, the usual separate approval scheme process will follow, with design and consultation on proposed options prior to implementation.
- 3.3 Member's comments are invited on the proposals contained within this report, as well as further proposals invited for future assessment in building the rolling programme referred to earlier.